

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,341	07/02/2003	Takeshi Momochi	06761.0054	3810
22852 75	590 03/13/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			ROSS, DANA	
LLP 901 NEW YOR	KK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3722	
				_

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 30°X (b) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(Θ
Examiner Dana Ross 3722 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timerly filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is open to reply is specified above, the macrosines of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timerly filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is open to reply is specified above, the macrosine of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timerly filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is open to reply is specified above, the macrosine of 37 CPR 1.794(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 isfare pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is a size withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) isfare allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 isfare rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) isfare allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 isfare rejected to By the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 isfare: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Dana Ross 3722 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHI-CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Brateshard from may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1139(a). In one event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Brateshard from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three maints stated the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any example status are placent term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1,79(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 February 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The orawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The orawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Provided the priority documents have been received i	Office Action Commons	10/611,341	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Str. (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (show the mailing date of this communication (show the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannot patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2006. 22) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ★ Claim(s) ★ 11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ★ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ★ Claim(s) ★ 11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) ★ 11 and 21-23 is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) ★ 11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 11 and 21-23 is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) ★ 11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 11 and 21-23 is/are allowed. 8) ★ Claim(s) ★ 11 and 21-23 is/are allowed. 10) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ★ 12 ccepted or b) ★ 12 ccepted to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.21(1) ★ 11 ccepted by 11 ccepted by 12 ccepted or b) ★ 12 ccepted by 12 ccepted by 12 ccepted or b) ★ 13 ccepted by 13 ccepted by 14 ccepted	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5tk (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. - Failures to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED 183 U.S.C. § 135). Failures to reply within the set of extended particles of the provision of the communication of the communication of the provision of the communication of			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be vasualized under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2006. 2a) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) ★ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ★ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ★ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ★ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ★ Claim(s) is/are objected to the Examiner. 10) ★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ★ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ★ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ★ All b) ★ Office Action of the priority documents have		appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(1). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a good will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 February 2006.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ○ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ○ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The other drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
4) Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on _02 _July _2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11 and 21-23</u> is/are pending in t	he application.	
6)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ➡ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ➡ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ★ All b) ➡ Some * c) ➡ None of: 1. ★ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ★ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ★ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Papers		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-152.
 a)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	•	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	 Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
• •	·		n received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	• •		
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.
	Attachment(a)		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: Two typographical errors are noted. Line 3 states "wherein the nut driving station" a second time, Line 4 states "and a reverse nut driver" a second time.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7, line 9 states "a nut forward driver". Since a nut forward driver has already been claimed, it appears this should read "the nut forward driver".

Application/Control Number: 10/611,341 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 120/103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-11 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US Pat. No. 6,030,326 (Azuma et al., hereafter '326).

'326 teaches an automatic tool change system which includes the method of positioning a spindle 11 relative to a nut tightening and nut loosening station (forward nut driver and reverse nut driver) for removal of a tool and replacement with a new tool (see col. 10 line 25 – col. 11, line 15, for example); a gear box 43 with a plurality of attachment and detachment members 41, 41', 42, 42' (see col. 5, lines 7-17, for example); gear box 43 is aligned with a tool (see reference numbers 17 and 18 of figure 2) in the spindle, a nut 108 which holds the tool (see figure 7), and rotary head 146 which removes and tightens the nut 108 for replacement of the tool (see col. 10, lines 27-41, for example); a auxiliary stopper 31 for preventing rotation of the spindle by engaging hub 18 during rotation of the nut (see figures 3A, 3B and col. 4, lines 41-58, for

Application/Control Number: 10/611,341

Art Unit: 3722

example); a motor 46 which rotates the attachment and detachment members 41, 42 (see col. 5. lines 35-46, for example).

Page 4

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 7. US Pat. No. 5,382,213 (Kopel et al., hereafter '213).

'213 teaches an automatic tool change system which includes the method of positioning a spindle relative to a nut tightening and nut loosening station (forward nut driver and reverse nut driver) for removal of a tool and replacement with a new tool (see abstract and figure 4, for example). It is noted that the spindle is positioned near the nut loosening and tightening station (nut loosening station becomes the nut tightening station after the nut has been loosened) with the nut loosening and tightening drivers being the spindle drivers that control the direction of the spindle rotation.

Though '213 teaches rotating the spindle relative to the nut, it is inherent that the nut is likewise, in relation, rotated relative to the spindle. In the alternative, if Applicant does not agree that the "relative" motion between the nut and spindle is such that the nut moves relative to the spindle, it is noted that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rotate the jig such that the nut was rotated while the spindle was stationary since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In this instance, the nut is rotated relative to the spindle through the rotation of the spindle. It would have been obvious to have the nut rotated relative to the spindle through rotation of the nut.

Regarding the above rejections under '326 and '213, in the alternative, in the event that 8. Applicant does not agree that the nut loosening station becomes the nut tightening station after

Application/Control Number: 10/611,341 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

the nut has been loosed, it is noted that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second tool change system and instead of having one unitary unit, to have a duplicate tool change system and perform only one action with each unit since mere duplication of a tool involves only routine skill in the art. Furthermore, though '326 or '213 do not expressly disclose the use of two separate and distinct stations, the purpose of the station with two functions is to loosen and tighten the spindle tool holding nut. The tightening and loosing a nut for tool replacement is notoriously well known in the machine tool art for the purpose of replacing a tool as is taught by '326 and '213. Applicant's claim language is a functional equivalents to '326 and '213's nut tightening and loosening station, and as such, it would be obvious, absent a statement of criticality, to substitute one known functional equivalent for another, depending for example on the availability of components at the time of assembly. Therefore since the need for the two actions (nut tightening and nut loosening) are needed for both tightening and loosening a nut and '326 and '213 teach the station in two modes to perform the tightening and loosening, the method of loosening and tightening a nut as taught by '326, '213 and Applicant were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made and one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the two actions with one station and use two stations for the nut loosening and removal.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 23 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the prior art does not teach "positioning a spindle relative to a nut driving station wherein the nut driving station comprises a forward nut driver and a reverse nut driver".

Applicant is referred to both '326 (figure 2) and '213 (figure 4) which shows the positioning of a spindle relative a nut driving station.

'326 teaches the nut driving station with a forward nut driver and a reverse nut driver for tightening and loosening nut 108 which is engaged with thread 15 of the spindle shaft 11 (see col. 10, lines 25-67 and col. 11, lines 1-15 for an explanation on how the forward and reverse nut driver performs).

'213 teaches the nut driving station with a forward nut driver and a reverse nut driver for tightening and loosening nut 4 which is engaged with the tool holder 1 of the spindle 13 (see figures 4 and 5).

Applicant asserts that claims 8-11 are allowed by virtue of their dependence on independent claim 7. Examiner disagrees and refers Applicant to the above rejection and response to claim 7 arguments.

Regarding Applicant's assertions as to the 103(a) rejections, Applicant asserts the same arguments as given above. Examiner disagrees with Applicant and refers Applicant to the above response to the arguments to claim 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,341

Art Unit: 3722

Page 7

Applicant asserts the prior art does not teach "positioning a spindle relative to a nut driving station wherein the nut driving station comprises a forward nut driver and a reverse driver, each of the forward nut driver and the reverse nut driver having a respective forward-rotating or reverse-rotating nut driver and a unidirectional spindle rotation preventor axially aligned with each other".

Regarding "positioning a spindle relative to a nut driving station wherein the nut driving station comprises a forward nut driver and a reverse driver", Applicant is referred to the above response to Applicant's claim 7 arguments.

Regarding "each of the forward nut driver and the reverse nut driver having a respective forward-rotating or reverse-rotating nut driver and a unidirectional spindle rotation preventor axially aligned with each other", '326 teaches each nut driver moving both forward and reverse and a rotation preventor (stopper 31) axially aligned with the drivers.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Ross whose telephone number is 571-272-4480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dana Ross Examiner Art Unit 3722

 \bigcup_{dmr}